



SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

04 AUG -5 PM 4:11

HD

August 5, 2004

Camilla Raminsky  
 Senior Campaign Finance Analyst  
 Reports Analysis Division  
 Federal Election Commission  
 999 E Street, NW  
 Washington, DC 20463

*Re: FEC Id. No. C00349506, Maria Cantwell for Senate*

Dear Ms. Raminsky:

This letter pertains to the request for additional information by you in connection with the 2004 April Quarterly Report of Maria Cantwell for Senate (the "Committee"). In your letter, you noted six contributions with which you had questions as to their election designation.

With respect to the contributions by Thomas Barron, Stephen Perlman and Janet Sinegal, there were inadvertent reporting mistakes with respect to the election designations listed on the above-referenced report. Contributions by Mr. Barron and Mr. Perlman were reported for the 2000 general election debt retirement, when, in fact, they should have been reported for the 2000 primary election debt retirement. The contribution from Janet Sinegal was inadvertently listed as for the 2000 general election debt, when it should have been listed for the 2006 primary election. Amendments are being filed to correct these inadvertent coding mistakes.

Similarly, the contribution from James Roush on December 19, 2000 is inadvertently a duplicate entry. Only one \$1000 contribution was received from him on that date, though the 2000 Year End FEC report mistakenly lists two. As you can determine from that report, the page total accounts for only one contribution, and, while Mr. Roush's name is printed a second time, the amount and date of the second contribution have been omitted on the original filing, because there was no such contribution. The Committee has reviewed copies of its receipts and confirmed this. Thus, Mr. Roush has not exceeded the limit.

The contributions from Christopher Marr on December 18, 2000 are clearly reported by the Committee for the 2000 general election, yet RAD has listed that on its letter for the 2006 general (we assume that this is a typo by RAD, since, per the usual procedures, it would have been listed as primary 2006). However, in reviewing these